

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Γ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
-	10/820,579	04/08/2004	Harald Kerschbaumer	IVe02US	8574	
	7590 01/25/2006 John C. Thompson 69 Grayton Road Tonawanda, NY 14150		EXAMINER			
				SUTHAR, RISHI S		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2851		
				DATE MAILED: 01/25/200	DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			3
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ナ
	10/820,579	KERSCHBAUMER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Rishi Suthar	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. The ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	9 December 2005 (amendmer	<u>nt)</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ -	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 10,11 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 17 is/are object.	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 08 April 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20051219</u> .	/08) 5) Notice of in	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/820,579 Page 2

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Responsive to amendment filed on 19 December 2005.

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the light point" on page 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 2. Claim 6 and 14 recite the limitation "one of the laser diodes" on page 3 and page 5, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, since this claim implies there is more than one laser diode.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cooper et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,051,823).

As to claim 1, Cooper et al. teaches an intra oral camera for producing a picture of an intra oral object wherein the intra oral object may include a tooth of a dental patient, the intra oral camera comprising: a camera (104) to take a picture of the intra-

oral object once the camera has been oriented in a proper picture taking position relative to the intra-oral object, the camera being operable to capture sight information relating to the intra oral object; a pinpoint light source for irradiating the intra-oral object with a pinpoint light beam; and an indicating means (video screen) for indicating that the camera has substantially assumed the proper picture taking position relative to the intraoral object for taking a picture of the intra-oral object, the indicating means being operable to evaluate at least one of sight information relating to the intra-oral object and light, captured by the camera, which comprises light coming from the intra-oral object in response to the irradiation thereof by the pinpoint light source and to provide an indication that the camera has substantially assumed the proper picture taking position relative to the intra-oral object based upon such evaluation (col. 3, lines 34-57, col. 5, lines 61-69).

As to claim 2, Cooper et al. teaches the pinpoint light source comprises at least one laser diode that projects a light point onto the tooth of the dental patient (col. 5, lines 61-69).

As to claim 3, Cooper et al. teaches the optical axis of the camera and the light point produced via the light source coincide at an intersection point, and the light source being oriented at an angle to the optical axis. Since the light is used as an aiming beam that shows up on the video screen, it is inherent that the light intersects with the optical axis.

As to claim 4, Cooper et al. teaches the angled orientation of the light source relative to the optical axis is such that at a given spacing of the camera from the intra oral object, the optical axis coincides intersects the light point projected onto the intraoral object from the light source.

As to claim 5, Cooper et al. teaches his invention has light **sources**. (col. 2, lines 63-65). This implies that plural light sources can be used for illuminating the area. Further, he teaches that visible light for illuminating the area can be laser diodes (col. 5, lines 61-69). Further, when two light sources are used, they will inherently be oriented symmetrically relative to one another at the midpoint between the two lights.

As to claim 6, Cooper et al. teaches the indicating means is operable to evaluate sight information relating to one of the laser diodes and to indicate the camera has substantially assumed the proper picture taking position (col. 3, lines 34-57).

As to claim 7, Cooper et al. teaches a means for permitting at least one of either an automatic picture taking operation (leaving the video recorder ON) or a manually operated picture taking operation (providing photographs of the recorded images) in response to an indication that the camera has substantially assumed, for a predetermined interval, the proper picture taking position (col. 3, lines 37-42).

As to claim 8, Cooper et al. the indicating means displays whether the camera has been oriented relative to a selected selectively cropped camera frame portion (magnified video screen) relating to the slight information, whereby the selectively cropped frame portion overlays the light coming from the intra-oral object in response to the irradiation thereof by the light source (col. 3, lines 34-57).

As to claim 9, Cooper et al. teaches the light source is a pinpoint light source (laser) that projects a light point onto the tooth of the dental patient and the selectively

cropped camera frame portion is larger than the light point (through magnification on video screen).

As to claim 12, Cooper et al. teaches a method for producing a picture of an intra-oral object wherein the intra-oral object may include a tooth of a dental patient, the method comprising: providing an intra-oral camera (102) and a pinpoint light source (col. 5, lines 61-69); orienting the camera to take a picture of the intra-oral object; optionally as needed, adjusting the orientation of the pinpoint light source relative to the intra-oral object such that the intra-oral object will be irradiated by a light beam from the pinpoint light source as the camera is actuated to take a picture of the intra-oral object; and indicating (on video screen), in response to an evaluation of at least one of sight information and light, which comprises light coming from the intra-oral object in response to the irradiation thereof by the pinpoint light source, that the camera has substantially assumed a proper picture taking position (col. 3, lines 34-57).

As to claim 13, Cooper et al. teaches the pinpoint light source comprises at least one laser diode that projects a light point (visible light signal) onto the tooth of the dental patient and the step of indicating includes indicating that the camera has substantially assumed a proper picture taking position (col. 3, lines 34-57, col. 5, lines 61-69).

As to claim 14, Cooper et al. teaches the step of indicating includes evaluating sight information relating to the light property of one the laser diode and indicating that the camera has substantially assumed the proper picture taking position as soon as the camera, while it is being oriented during the step of orienting, has substantially assumed the proper picture taking position relative to the intra oral object (col. 3, lines 34-57).

As to claim 15, Cooper et al. teaches the method further comprises permitting at least one of either an automatic picture taking operation (leaving the video recorder ON) or a manually operated picture taking operation (providing photographs of the recorded images) in response to an indication that the camera has substantially assumed, for a predetermined interval, the proper picture taking position (col. 3, lines 37-42).

As to claim 16, Cooper et al. teaches the step of indicating includes indicating whether the camera has been oriented relative to a selected selectively cropped camera frame portion (magnified video screen) relating to the sight information, whereby the selectively cropped camera frame portion overlays the light coming from the intra-oral object in response to the irradiation thereof by the pinpoint light source (col. 3, lines 37-42). In the invention of Cooper et al., it is inherent that the video screen will overlay the light coming from the light source in order for the operator can see the image on the video screen.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 10, 11 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pfeiffer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,885,464), Elbaum et al. (U.S.

Patent No. 6,201,880), and Mullane, Jr. (4,184,175) all disclose imaging devices for intra-oral objects using light sources.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephone Numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rishi Suthar whose telephone number is 571-272-8456. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William Perkey Primary Examiner

gro Cente

Rishi Suthar Examiner Art Unit 2851

RS